1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 HUGO LUA, P-48040, 9 No. C 12-1793 CRB (PR) Plaintiff(s), 10 ORDER OF SERVICE v. NANCY ADAMS, M.D., 11 12 Defendant(s). 13 Plaintiff, a prisoner at Pelican Bay State Prison (PBSP), has filed a pro se 14 15 complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 alleging improper medical care for severe nerve pain. Plaintiff specifically alleges that Dr. Nancy Adams improperly 16 17 discontinued his long-term pain medication despite his protests and medical 18 history of severe nerve pain due to multiple herniated spinal discs. 19 DISCUSSION 20 A. Standard of Review 21 Federal courts must engage in a preliminary screening of cases in which 22 prisoners seek redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a 23 governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The court must identify cognizable 24 claims or dismiss the complaint, or any portion of the complaint, if the complaint 25 "is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be 26 granted," or "seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such 27 relief." Id. § 1915A(b). Pro se pleadings must be liberally construed, however.

Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1990).

To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1) that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2) that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. West v. Atkins, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

## В. Legal Claims

Deliberate indifference to serious medical needs violates the Eighth Amendment's proscription against cruel and unusual punishment. See Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97, 104 (1976). Such indifference may appear when prison officials deny, delay or intentionally interfere with medical treatment, or it may be shown in the way in which prison officials provide medical care. See McGuckin v. Smith, 974 F.2d 1050, 1062 (9th Cir. 1992) (delay of seven months in providing medical care during which medical condition was left virtually untreated and plaintiff was forced to endure "unnecessary pain" sufficient to present colorable § 1983 claim), overruled on other grounds, WMX <u>Technologies</u>, Inc. v. Miller, 104 F.3d 1133, 1136 (9th Cir. 1997) (en banc).

Liberally construed, plaintiff's allegations that Dr. Nancy Adams discontinued his long-term pain medication despite his protests and medical history of severe nerve pain due to multiple herniated spinal discs present an arguably cognizable § 1983 claim for deliberate indifference to serious medical needs and will be served on Dr. Nancy Adams. See id.

## CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons and for good cause shown,

1. The clerk shall issue summons and the United States Marshal shall serve, without prepayment of fees, copies of the complaint in this matter, all attachments thereto, and copies of this order on Dr. Nancy Adams at PBSP. The

clerk also shall serve a copy of this order on plaintiff.

- 2. In order to expedite the resolution of this case, the court orders as follows:
- No later than 90 days from the date of this order, defendants a. shall serve and file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion. A motion for summary judgment must be supported by adequate factual documentation and must conform in all respects to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56, and must include as exhibits all records and incident reports stemming from the events at issue. A motion for summary judgment also must be accompanied by a Rand notice so that plaintiff will have fair, timely and adequate notice of what is required of him in order to oppose the motion. Woods <u>v. Carey</u>, Nos. 09-15548 & 09-16113, slip op. 7871, 7874 (9th Cir. July 6, 2012) (notice requirement set out in Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998), must be served concurrently with motion for summary judgment). A motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust available administrative remedies similarly must be accompanied by a Wyatt notice. Id. (notice requirement set out in Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108 (9th Cir. 2003), must be served concurrently with motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust available administrative remedies).

If defendants are of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary judgment or other dispositive motion, they shall so inform the court prior to the date their motion is due. All papers filed with the court shall be served promptly on plaintiff.

- b. Plaintiff must serve and file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to the dispositive motion not more than 28 days after the motion is served and filed.
  - c. Plaintiff is advised that a motion for summary judgment

27

28

25

under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case. Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact – that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradicts the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial. Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc) (App. A).

Plaintiff also is advised that a motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust available administrative remedies under 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a) will, if granted, end your case, albeit without prejudice. You must "develop a record" and present it in your opposition in order to dispute any "factual record" presented by the defendants in their motion to dismiss. Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n.14 (9th Cir. 2003).

(The <u>Rand</u> and <u>Wyatt</u> notices above do not excuse defendants' obligation to serve said notices again concurrently with motions to dismiss for failure to exhaust available administrative remedies and motions for summary judgment. <u>Woods</u>, slip op. at 7874.)

1	d. Defendants must serve and file a reply to an opposition not
2	more than 14 days after the opposition is served and filed.
3	e. The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the
4	reply is due. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the court so orders at a
5	later date.
6	3. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of
7	Civil Procedure. No further court order is required before the parties may
8	conduct discovery.
9	4. All communications by plaintiff with the court must be served on
10	defendants, or defendants' counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing
11	a true copy of the document to defendants or defendants' counsel.
12	5. It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must
13	keep the court and all parties informed of any change of address and must comply
14	with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the
15	dismissal of this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).
16	SO ORDERED.
17	DATED: July 12, 2012 CHARLES R. BREYER
18	United States District Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	G:\PRO-SE\CRB\CR.12\Lua, H.12-1793.serve.wpd
27	on the objects partition in the investor of the objects of the obj